

**Implementation Date: 01 September 2023**

**Template for Requesting Speaking Rights at the Planning Committee**

The Protocol for the Operation of the Planning Committee provides for interested person(s) to register to speak on a planning application that is scheduled to be determined at the next meeting of the Planning Committee. This request must be received by the Planning Department no later than 10am on the Monday before the Planning Committee meeting via email account [planning@causewaycoastandglens.gov.uk](mailto:planning@causewaycoastandglens.gov.uk).

<b>Planning Reference</b>	LA01/2025/0631/F
<b>Name</b>	John Jackman
<b>Contact Details</b>	Tel: _____ Email: _____
<b>Support or Objection – please tick relevant box</b>	Support <input type="checkbox"/> Objection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Written representation summarising key points to be addressed and supplementary information in support of your case (minimum font size 10 and maximum length two sides of A4 page).**

I am objecting to the proposed planning permission as it conflicts with Parking policies PPS3, Policy AMP7 or PPS7, QD1

My main objection to this proposal is because of the lack of street parking. The DFI letter on Jan 14<sup>th</sup> 2026 states “*Dfi Roads would advise that the updated Parking Survey demonstrates **that there is on street parking available on York Avenue.***” We know there “is” street parking, but what is not apparent is that **there is not enough street parking** for the current residents.

There are only 16 permissible parking spaces for 17 houses plus the Scout Hall. Because people park all along the narrow road, those of us with driveway parking can’t use it. If we park on our driveway at No.5, we cannot pull out when a car is parked opposite.

I am an 81 year old \_\_\_\_\_ and often must park over 100M away or further. My family can’t see where I have parked or if I need help from the car with any shopping.

The parking survey does not reflect the typical daily struggle to park in the street. My son and I often come home late from his work around 10.30/11pm and cannot find a spot.

In addition, there are a significant number of issues and unknowns relating to this proposed development:

1. Out of context with adjoining properties – this house will only be approx. 4.4M width vs 9.5M (No. 9) and 10.6 M (No.5) and its scaling will look out of place
2. The private open space is only 26M2 and has not accounted for bin storage or a heating oil tank, which may cause issues with Building Control
3. The distance to the side boundary is only 0.6M and 0.8M which will make maintenance of guttering difficult
4. Point 8.29 in the Planning Office approval states: The proposal should not result in unacceptable overshadowing (No.5 York Ave) *There may be some loss of light to windows in their front elevation*. So it is impacting use of my home.
5. There is no public surface water sewer within 20m of the proposed development boundary however access is available via extension of the existing public surface water network. Thus, will be disruptive to connect.