

Title of Report:	Consultation on Policy Proposals to Underpin a New Public Health Bill
Committee Report Submitted To:	Environmental Services Committee
Date of Meeting:	10 th September 2024
For Decision or For Information	For Decision
To be discussed In Committee	No

Linkage to Council Strategy (2021-25)	
Strategic Theme	Healthy, Active and Engaged Communities
Outcome	Provide a consultation response
Lead Officer	Head of Health & Built Environment

Budgetary Considerations	
Cost of Proposal	N/A
Included in Current Year Estimates	N/A
Capital/Revenue	N/A
Code	N/A
Staffing Costs	N/A

Legal Considerations	
Input of Legal Services Required	NO
Legal Opinion Obtained	NO

Screening Requirements	Required for new or revised Policies, Plans, Strategies or Service Delivery Proposals.		
Section 75 Screening	Screening Completed:	Yes/No	Date:
	EQIA Required and Completed:	Yes/No	Date:
Rural Needs Assessment	Screening Completed	Yes/No	Date:
(RNA)	RNA Required and Completed:	Yes/No	Date:
Data Protection Impact	Screening Completed:	Yes/No	Date:
Assessment (DPIA)	DPIA Required and Completed:	Yes/No	Date:

1.0 Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to provide a Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council response to the consultation.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 The Department of Health (DoH) has launched a consultation on the policy proposals which have been drafted to inform the development of a new Public Health Bill for Northern Ireland.
- 2.2 This is the second consultation on public health legislation in Northern Ireland. The first was undertaken in September 2015 as part of a review of existing public health law, the Public Health Act (Northern Ireland) 1967. The results of this review were published in March 2016. It found the 1967 Act to be in need of reform and recommended it be replaced with a new Public Health Bill.
- 2.3 This second consultation seeks views on specific policy proposals, which have been drafted to underpin a new health protection legislative framework for Northern Ireland. These are based on the recommendations of the Review of the 1967 Act and on lessons learned from recent threats to public health security.
- 2.4 DoH have stated that the overarching objective of the new Bill is to modernise the outdated existing public health legislation to enable Northern Ireland to better manage risks to public health in the future.
- 2.5 The aim and objectives of a draft Bill will be:
 - to update outdated public health legislation to make it fit for purpose in order to better manage 21st century public health emergencies;
 - to align with UK jurisdictions, where appropriate, and to better comply with IHR 2005, Human Rights and Data Protection legislation; and
 - to widen the scope of current public health legislation to create permanent powers to enable Northern Ireland to respond to public health scenarios on an 'all-hazards' basis.
- 2.6 The consultation document can be found at:

https://www.health-ni.gov.uk/consultations/policy-proposals-inform-development-new-public-health-bill-northern-ireland

2.7 Attached as Appendix 1 is a suggested response to the consultation. The closing date for submission of responses is 27th September 2024.

3.0 Recommendation

It is recommended that Council approves the response.

Consultation Response Form

organisation represents and, where applicable, how the views of members

were assembled?



CONSULTEE DETAILS

Name (Optional)	
Organisation and job title (if applicable)	Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council
Please provide details of your postal and / of any outcome of the consultation.	or e-mail address if you wish to be advise
Postal Address (Optional)	66 Portstewart Road, Coleraine, BT52 1EY, Northern Ireland
E-mail Address (Optional)	
I am responding: as an individual on the behalf of an orga	anisation as an (please tick a box)
If replying as an individual, please indicate if you do not wish for your identity to be made public	
Whilst not essential, it would assist the Department in analysing responses if responding on behalf of an organisation, you could provide details of who your	

Views are invited on the following questions:

THEME 1: STRUCTURE AND PURPOSE OF THE BILL (pages 7 – 9)

Principles, statement of intent and objectives

Q1. Do you agree or disagree with the proposed statement of intent?		
Agree X		
Disagree		
Undecided		
Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for your answer. Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council agrees that the principle to ensure the capability to prevent, protect against, control, and provide response to public health threats is appropriate and necessary. An explanatory note would be welcomed to assist organisations who are tasked to fulfil any of the functions detailed in the Bill. This could be supported by summarising the outcomes that the Bill will deliver. The detail of how this will be achieved in response to the wide range of potential scenarios needs to be fully understood, linkages to existing legislative powers identified, and the appropriate		
consideration of how any additional duties or powers can be resourced and implemented. Further consultation is required when the Bill is drafted. All hazards approach		
Q2. Do you agree or disagree with the definition of "infection and contamination"?		
Agree X		
Disagree		
Undecided		
Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for your answer.		
The definition of infection and contamination proposed is any infection or contamination which presents or could present significant harm to human health.		
Council agrees that the definition should be brought into line with other UK jurisdictions. This allows all risks to human health to be considered, managed, and controlled to protect public		

health. It is important to ensure any definitions and legislation will encompass emerging hazards and not be limited to infectious disease.

THEME 2: ORGANISATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES (pages 10-14)

Scope

Q3.	environmental health le	agree that other existing public health legislation, i.e. egislation, sufficiently describes the functions, duties and additional statutory bodies needed to deal with any public health
	Agree	
	Disagree	X
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	

Please give reasons for your answer.

The consultation states that the proposed bill will replicate and enhance the powers of the 1967 Act and in relation to public health investigations, the PHA will be able to authorise others to undertake specified duties. Public health legislation in other UK jurisdictions places powers and duties on local authorities which enables the relevant authorised bodies to undertake health protection functions and investigations. For example, the taking of air, water and land samples.

Local Authorities in Northern Ireland have a range of duties and powers which may be invoked to protect public health during an incident, but there are limitations to what scenarios these duties and powers may cover e.g. public health issues such as controlling some infectious diseases in day care facilities or nurseries. In addition, Local Authorities have very limited powers in response to scenarios related to chemical hazards containment and cleanup.

It is important to note that whilst Environmental Health professionals can demonstrate a range of expertise and transferrable skills across our legislative remit, other agencies such as DAERA, HSENI, NIHE, FSA also have regulatory powers or responsibilities which may be relevant in a managing a health protection incident. Health and safety enforcement is split between HSENI and District Councils by sector as laid down in the Enforcing Authority Regulations. HSENI have the same enforcement powers as Council Environmental Health Officers in the premises they have enforcement responsibility for.

Councils have enforcement responsibilities under health and safety legislation relating to risks arising from work activities affecting employees and others that could be affected e.g. risk to public from legionella.

It is likely that not all future situations / scenarios will fall neatly within current legislative frameworks operated across the range of statutory stakeholders, so where PHA are "directing" in

serious situations, there also needs to be accompanying funding streams made available to execute such "directions".

As new and novel hazards continue to emerge, it is essential that capability and capacity issues are adequately addressed and funded, not only to ensure the emerging issue is competently tackled but also to ensure the sustainability of that expertise and continued capacity to deliver the "authorised" organisation's normal legislative remit in tandem.

In determining roles and responsibilities, it is also important to consider that local conflicts of interest may arise for local authorities in dealing with any incident on local authority premises.

Within the timeframe of the consultation and in the absence of a funded dedicated resource the Council is not in a position to authoritatively determine whether its environmental health legislation sufficiently describes the functions, duties and powers of ministers and statutory bodies needed to deal with any public health incident and would expect the Department for Health to undertake a full analysis through its legal advisers to determine such information in advance of this and any future consultation. For example, any expectations to carry out disinfection, disinfestation and decontamination will require specialist advice and services as these are currently not within the remit of Councils to deliver. Indeed, it is questionable whether Councils are best placed to assist with incidents of contamination given the "All Hazards" approach which could extend to incidents where the Council have no current role, and which requires a multi-agency approach involving access to highly specialised and costly services.

Additionally, the role of the Civil Emergencies Contingencies Group and its associated structures will need to be considered in response to any threat that may occur within Northern Ireland.

Any proposal that will bring additional responsibilities and burdens on Council resources/budgets will need to be considered in the context of bringing forward these proposals and a regulatory impact assessment will be required if any additional powers are passed to Councils. A central funding arrangement should be considered for this purpose.

Monitoring and surveillance

Q4.	Do you agree or disagree that there is no requirement to replicate in the Bill the provisions in the Health Security (EU Exit) Regulations 2021 in relation to monitoring and surveillance?		
	Agree	X	
	Disagree		
	Undecided		
	Not Applicable		

Please give reasons for your answer.		
The Council agrees that monitoring and surveillance is an important element of protection public health and if the Department is satisfied that such powers already exist in Health Security (EU Exit) Regulations 2021, that there is no need to replicate the same legislative provisions in the Bill.		
It is noted in paragraph 24 that it is proposed that provision is made to confer on PHA and other persons functions in in relation to the monitoring of public health risks.		
Further information is requested on who said 'other persons' or agencies are proposed to be.		
THEME 3: PUBLIC HEALTH POWERS (page 14- 66)		
Notification Policy		
Q5. Do you agree or disagree with the proposed "all hazards" approach to notification?		
Agree		
Disagree		
Undecided		
Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for your answer.		
Council agrees that notification is the first step and therefore a necessary element of the proposed "all hazards" approach and therefore it would be logical that an "all hazards approach" to notification is necessary to meet the objectives of the new Bill. This may have resource implications and should be considered as part of a regulatory impact assessment.		
Q6(a). Do you agree or disagree with the duties to be placed on registered medical practitioners?		
Agree		
Disagree		
Undecided		
Not Applicable x		
Please give reasons for your answer. The Council however considers this is a matter for the relevant health / medical professionals to provide comment on the proposals.		

Q6(b). Do you agree or disag practitioners must not	gree with the types of information that registered medical tify?
Agree	
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	X
Please give reasons for your The Council however considers the provide comment on the proposal	nis is a matter for the relevant health / medical professionals to
Q7(a) Do you agree or disagr diagnostic laboratories	ee with the duties to be placed on operators / directors of ?
Agree	
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	X
Please give reasons for your	answer.
Q7(b). Do you agree or disag of diagnostic laborator	
Agree	<u>X</u>
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	
Please give reasons for your	
	requiring laboratories to make notifications as soon as possible consistent with the requirements on medical practitioners target).

Q7(c). Do you agree or disagree that legislation should place a duty on diagnostic laboratories to report <u>negative</u> test results?		
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Undecided	X
	Not Applicable	
	Please give reasons for you	r answer.
	could however prove to be an ad potentially diverting valuable reso	agree that legislation should place a duty on diagnostic
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Undecided	X
	Not Applicable	
	Please give reasons for you	r answer.
	aware of this as soon as possible necessary. This is not only impor	void, it would be helpful for relevant authorities to be made e, so that a repeat sample can be obtained and retested, if tant for any individual involved, but also for decision makers who which to act / take action in the given situation.

Offences

` '		gree that an offence may be placed on an operator / directontory for failure to comply with the proposed duties?
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please give r	easons for you	r answer.
		for compliance, it is important that all laboratories across UK are railing to comply with any duties placed upon them.
Q8(b). Do you	agree or disag	ree that the level of fine is appropriate?
	Agree	x
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please give r	easons for you	r answer.
The level of fine should be consistent across the United Kingdom		

Powers of entry and investigations

Q9. Do you agree or disagree with the proposed enhanced powers of entry for "authorised officers" of the PHA?		
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please giv	e reasons for you	r answer.
officers" to e	xercise any duty ned	be of the powers of entry must be sufficient for "Authorised bessary to achieve compliance with the Bill and carry out any protecting public safety and health.
consistency related legis	with existing powers lation linked to comm	B should not apply to commercial premises as there should be of entry available in other public health and health and safety nercial premises. This should only apply for residential premises. emises" and any exemptions would be helpful.
approach sh		decide to authorise officers outside of the PHA, then this consultation with other regulators, be comprehensive but
sit alongside	powers of entry (like	on be given to developing an accompanying Code of Practice to that of the Environmental Better Regulation Bill) to ensure the sh powers. This is of particular importance as the powers referred

to in the proposed legislation impinge upon human rights, liberty and property.

Q10(a). Do you agree or disagree with the definition of "authorised officer"?		
Agree	X	
Disagree		
Undecided		
Not Applicat	ole	
any person authorised by the the person is an officer of the authorised as necessary. The are not necessarily employed particular field of expertise to a The legislation should include Health Agency. Officers outsid necessary and where it can be resources are available to exe	efinition of 'authorised officer' to be included in the Bill will mean PHA to exercise functions conferred on it under the Bill (whether Agency). This will allow organisations to have their officers edefinition should also be extended to allow for "other persons" who by the statutory agenises but who are specialist or experts in a accompany authorised officers. Ilimitations on the power to authorise officers outside of the Public de of the agency should only be authorised where deemed a demonstrated that the competency, expertise and adequate pricise any functions conferred under this Bill. Further consultation officers / organisation is recommended.	
Q10(b). Do you agree or disagree that the Department should specify who the "authorised officers" should be in legislation?		
Agree		
Disagree		
Undecided	X	
Not Applicat	ole	
Please give reasons for ye	our answer	
In considering an all hazards a within the legislative framewor the Bill to enable the PHA to a competency to assist with any	approach it may be constrictive to confine who can authorised to and therefore consideration should be given to allow flexibility in appoint authorised officers who can demonstrate their expertise and emerging public health incident. It is considered that the cified who 'authorised officers' are to be in the legislation.	
NIFRS, FSA, PSNI, NIEA. Thi necessary expertise and equip	gencies should be specified as authorised officers e.g. HSENI, s would allow flexibility in response and enable persons with the oment to be deployed appropriately depending on the type of .g. environmental clean-up companies should also be included.	
	tion should list the relevant agencies whose staff may be authorised or activities they could be asked to assist with.	

Supplementary provision as to entry

Q11(a) Do you agree or disagree with the supplementary powers of entry for authorised officers of the PHA? Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with the provision of supplementary powers for "authorised officers" which should be sufficient to allow officers to carry out their role with all the investigative tools that are necessary to properly assess the risks to public health and to allow them to take appropriate action to mitigate or eliminate the risk under investigation. Further information on who will take enforcement action in relation to contraventions will be necessary.

Q11(b). In relation to "any such person" accompanying an "authorised officer", who do you think should be included in this category?

Please provide suggestions in the box below

Given the range of hazards incorporated under the proposed Bill, it would not be possible for the Council to pre-empt the professional/technical skills or credentials of any such person. The legislation should be drafted accordingly to allow sufficient latitude for such persons to be identified and appointed in response any type of hazard defined within the scope of this Bill.

See response to 10(B). Should include Private contractors, HSENI, FSA, NIFRS, PSNI, NIEA, not just District Councils.

It is recommended that the legislation clarifies that PHA remain the lead authority, directing the incident response and that decision-making responsibilities lie with PHA.

A dedicated team with appropriate experience and expertise, akin to the HSENI major incident team, to fulfil this role may be worth considering.

Q11(c) Do you entry?	ı agree or disa	gree with the supplementary provisions as to powers of
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with the supplementary provisions as to the powers of entry. It is further recommended that a Code of Practice be developed to sit alongside the powers of entry to ensure the correct and consistent use of such powers. This is of particular importance as the powers referred to in the proposed legislation impinge upon human rights, liberty, and property.		
Q11(d). Do you	ı think other ac	tions should be included?
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please give re	easons for your	answer
Include any other reasonable power which is necessary for the purpose entry is authorised. Inclusion would enable action not listed, to be taken if deemed necessary and would also future proof the legislation so other actions can be taken without need to change the legislation. It may also be worth including the power to secure a scene or for it to be left undisturbed.		

Offence of Wilful Obstruction

Q12(a). Do you agree or disagree that an offence of wilful obstruction should be included in the Bill?		
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
The offence of		should be included in the legislation to ensure acts of wilful
	isions to address t	It with and to bring this legislation into parity with other hese behaviours.
Useful to consider a definition of 'wilful'. The equivalent offence in environmental health legislation is 'obstruction' not 'wilful obstruction' and this maybe more difficult to prove.		
Q12(b). If you	agree, do you tl	hink the level of fine is appropriate?
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please give re	easons for your	answer
Please give reasons for your answer The level of fine would seem commensurate with the offence taking account of the public health implications of those who chose to impede and obstruct investigation and remedial action to address public health risks.		

Enhancement of PHA Powers / Magistrates' Court Orders

	agree or disagr s" and "groups	ee with the "requirements and restrictions" in relation to of persons"?
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
The Council agreersons" should Members of the requirements and Consultation lace Clarification is reliaise with the consultation and Council and Counc	enable adequate public and civil lib of restrictions. ks information on equested on the properts to obtain coupact on Council responses	irements and restrictions" in relation to "persons" and "groups of measures to control risk to public health in any given scenario. erty/human rights groups should be consulted on the proposed who PHA will authorise to investigate and mitigate an incident. racticalities of enforcement and who or which agency would rt orders or warrants. esources/budgets must be subject to further consultation. ee with the "requirements and restrictions" in relation to
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please give re	asons for your	answer
The Council agr	ees that the "requi	irements and restrictions" in relation to "related parties" should itrol risk to public health in any given scenario.

Q15. Do you a "things"	agree or disagree with the "requirements and restrictions" in relation ?
	Agree
	Disagree
	Undecided
	Not Applicable
Please give re	easons for your answer
adequate measu presents a signif	ees that the "requirements and restrictions" in relation to "things" should enable ures to control risk to public health in any given scenario that may arise that ficant risk to health. The definition of 'thing' includes dead body/human remains dered with sensitivity in the description.
requirements as	uld like to further understand what role (if any) they may have in relation to these there would be health and safety considerations and possibly a specialist d which would not be readily available within Councils current roles, and resources.
	ration of the specific examples would be required to inform Councils f the types of scenarios where a significant risk to public health is identified.
sourced and the arrangements by	erventions in some cases may require specialist services that cannot be readily refore consideration may need to be given to setting up contingency y availing expertise and services that may be already available in other or other or other in the clarity will help to inform our understanding of the roles that Councils will be vide.
Councils and a dadditional cost/b	f resources and budget associated with the introduction of this Bill is a concern for detailed analysis of cost should be undertaken to ensure that there is no burden to Councils. It is recommended that a central budget is held by the PHA to bundertake any work that is necessary so that Councils' existing budgets are not sted.
will need to be c impact assessm	at will bring additional responsibilities and burdens on Council resources/budgets considered in the context of bringing forward these proposals and a regulatory ent will be required if any additional powers are passed to Councils. A central ment should be considered for this purpose.

to

Q16.	•	neasures in r	ree with the "requirements and restrictions" in relation to relation to things for "related persons" and "related things"
	Ag	jree	X
	Dis	sagree	
	Un	ndecided	
	No	ot Applicable	
The (on to "related p	that the "requ persons" and "i	irements and restrictions" in relation to health measures in related things" should enable adequate measures to control risk ario io that may arise that presents a significant risk to health.
Q.17	Do you agr	_	ree with the "requirements and restrictions" in relation to
	Ag	jree	X
	Dis	sagree	
	Un	ndecided	
	No	ot Applicable	
The or relation	on to "premises h in any given s	that the "requ s" and "any pla scenario io tha	irements and restrictions" in relation to health measures in ace" should enable adequate measures to control risk to public at may arise that presents a significant risk to health. A clusions would be useful.
Q18	the health n		ree with the "requirements and restrictions" in relation to r "related premises" in relation to a "related person" and a raph 98?
	Ag	jree	X
	Dis	sagree	
	Un	idecided	
	No	ot Applicable	
			16

Please give reasons for you	
	uirements and restrictions" in relation to health measures in
relation to "premises" and "any place" should enable adequate measures to control risk to public	
health in any given scenario io that may arise that presents a significant risk to health.	
Q19. Do you agree or disagon of the magistrates' cou	ree with the additional provisions in relation to the making orders?
Agree	
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	x
Please give reasons for you	r answer
The section on magistrates court implement such orders.	orders lacks any detail on which agency/who will seek and
Q20. Should provision in rel rights be included?	lation to a timely explanation of interference with individual
Agree	X
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	
Please enter your answer an	nd reasons for your answer in the box below.
Although there is a balance be individual's rights, every oppor	etween protecting public health whilst also maintaining an rtunity must be afforded to provide a timely and expedited idual is potentially deprived of those rights.
g or appear whore an indivi	ass. is possitionly depirted of those lighter.
vulnerable in society. Addition	dditional safeguards and support is provided to the most ally, where an individual or group is subject to restrictions en suitable mitigations and financial support should be astances.

Medical examination: least invasive and least intrusive procedures. Q21. Do you agree or disagree with the provisions in relation to medical examinations? Agree Disagree Undecided Not Applicable x Please give reasons for your answer The Council however considers this is a matter for the relevant health / medical professionals to provide comment on the proposals. Q22. Do you agree or disagree with the list in relation to invasive procedures? Agree Disagree Undecided Undecided

Please give reasons for your answer

Not Applicable x

The Council considers this is a matter for the relevant health / medical professionals to provide comment on the proposals.

Q23. Do you to prem	•	ee with the provision of magistrates' court orders in relation
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please give r	easons for your	answer
The Council ag	rees with the provi e additional provisi	ision of magistrates' court orders in relation to premises to ion is available if access to a premises is not possible or is no which agency/who will implement these orders.
Q24. Do you be in fo	_	ee with the periods for which magistrates' court orders may
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Please give r	easons for your	answer
imminent risk to quarantine. The reflect that while assessing and human rights o	o public health it me e use of such powe st at the same time balancing the risk	where there are situations where individuals pose a severe and ay be necessary to apply powers of detention, isolation, and ers must however be used sparingly, and the legislation should e allowing the statutory agencies some discretion as to to public health against the protection of individual freedoms / eration needs to be given on what actions will be taken should expiration period.
	ou agree or dis tes' court orders	sagree with the proposals in relation to the making of s?
	Agree	
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	X

Please give reasons for yo	our answer	
The Council considers this is a matter for the relevant health / medical professionals to provide comment on the proposals however detail is lacking on which agency/who will implement these orders		
Q25(b). Do you agree or o	disagree with the proposals in relation to the varying an rates' court orders?	
Agree		
Disagree		
Undecided		
Not Applicab	le X	
considers this is a matter for th the proposals however detail is	inciple of making court orders as described however the Council te relevant health / medical professionals to provide comment on a lacking on which agency/who will implement these orders isagree with the proposal in relation to the enforcement of	
Agree	X	
Disagree		
Undecided		
Not Applicab	le X	
Please give reasons for yo	our answer	
The Council agrees with the prendering body for this aspect	oposals however would welcome some clarification as to who the of the Bill will be. As highlighted above, Council do not have xercise these powers therefore would fall outside of the scope of	

Q26(b). Do you agree or disagree with the proposals in relation to the associated offence and fine?		
Agree		
Disagree		
Undecided	X	
Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for your a	nswer	
	r expertise to exercise these powers therefore would fall outside	
Supplementary provisions in respect of magistrates' court orders Q27. Do you agree or disagree with the supplementary provisions, enabling the Department to make further regulations in relation to the taking of measures pursuant to a magistrates' court order? Agree x Disagree Undecided Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for your answer		
The Council agrees the department should have the scope to make further regulations that are deemed appropriate.		
Detail is lacking on which agency/who will enforce magistrates court orders and at this stage understanding what the expectations will be on Council, if any. Conferring functions on any agency or Department without agreement requires further consideration including financial reimbursement.		

Restrictions / emergency powers

Q28. Do you agree or disagree with the proposed "restrictions and requirements" that may be included in health protection regulations?

Agree	X
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	,

Please give reasons for your answer

Council agrees with the proposed "restrictions and requirements" that may be included in health protection regulations. Any restrictions and requirements must be proportionate to risk and necessary to do so to protect the public from significant risks to health. Given the range of powers included, Council would welcome some clarity on the roles in terms of enforcement of any proposed regulations due to the scope that has been outlined for this proposal relating to persons, things, and premises.

The Council believes that the legislation should provide for such remedial measures to apply to premises, things, and persons. Such powers will only be used where an on-going hazard is presented by such material or persons and the powers would provide the mechanism to 'make safe' the building or person and thereby eliminate the risk to public health. As it is likely that local councils will have a role in this, the council would emphasise the need to undertake detailed consultation and to ensure that there is no additional cost/burden for councils. Given that these provisions will apply to an" all hazards" approach, Councils will not have the necessary skills, expertise, or ready access to specialised services to deal with incidences where for example specialist decontamination services are required that cannot be readily sourced and therefore consideration may need to be given to setting up contingency arrangements by accessing and availing expertise and services that may be already available in other jurisdictions. Further clarity will help to inform our understanding of the roles that Councils will be expected to provide along with multi agency partners as highlighted in question 3, the role of the Civil Emergencies Contingencies Group and its associated structures will need to be considered in response to any threat that may occur within Northern Ireland.

Appropriate provision should be made for the carrying out of works in default and the recovery of costs where possible, however, it is important to recognise that where such costs are unlikely to be successfully recovered, Council budgets may prohibit expensive works in default. Accordingly, to ensure that works are carried out promptly and the risk to public health is addressed as a priority it is recommended that a central budgetary resource is held which can be accessed by statutory agencies as necessary to carry out such works.

Councils will have been involved in the enforcement of the emergency Health Protection Regulations brought into effect at pace during the Covid pandemic. The lessons learnt from the enquiry should be considered to inform this element of the bill. In an emergency where legislation is being drafted there should be timely consultations with any statutory body required to enforce such special regulations to ensure that the legislation addresses the intended purpose.

Power to require a head teacher to provide contact details of pupils.

Q29(a). Do you agree or disaged child out of school?	gree with the proposals in relation to the power to keep a
Agree	
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	X
Please give reasons for your	
This falls outside of the scope of 0 other persons.	Council responsibilities and not a function to be conferred to
Q29(b). Do you agree or disa contact details?	gree with the requirements on a head teacher to provide
Agree	
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	X
Please give reasons for your	answer
This falls outside of the scope of 0	Council responsibilities. See response to 29(a).
Consideration should be given to outside of the education system.	GDPR and permissions for schools to share pupils' information

Restriction of access to, or contact with, dead bodies

Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(b). Do you agree or disagree with the proposals in relation to contact with dead bodies? Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill. Detail is lacking on which agencylwho will enforce offence of non-compliance	Q30(a). Do you agree or disagree with the proposals in relation to access to dead bodies?			
Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(b). Do you agree or disagree with the proposals in relation to contact with dead bodies? Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	Agree	X		
Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(b). Do you agree or disagree with the proposals in relation to contact with dead bodies? Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	Disagree			
Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(b). Do you agree or disagree with the proposals in relation to contact with dead bodies? Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	Undecided			
The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(b). Do you agree or disagree with the proposals in relation to contact with dead bodies? Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	Not Applicable			
Q30(b). Do you agree or disagree with the proposals in relation to contact with dead bodies? Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	The Council agrees with proposa	ils in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies		
Agree X Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	·	of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public		
Disagree Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	bodies?			
Undecided Not Applicable Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	_			
Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	_			
Please give reasons for your answer The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	Undecided			
The Council agrees with proposals in relation to restricting access and contact with dead bodies who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	Not Applicable			
who pose a threat to the spread of infectious disease or contamination for the protection of public health. Q30(c). Who should have the power to give notice of the restriction? Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.				
Please provide suggestions in the box below The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.	who pose a threat to the spread of			
The power to give notice of the restriction will be based on medical evidence and therefore Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.				
Councils would not have any role in this aspect of the proposed Bill.				
	Councils would not have any role	e in this aspect of the proposed Bill.		

Relocation of dead bodies

Q31(a). Do you a bodies?	agree or disa	gree with the proposals in relation to relocation of dead
A	gree	X
D	isagree	
U	Indecided	
N	lot Applicable	
Please give reas	sons for your	answer
body is to be hand should be powers place and arrange	lled and ultimate to require preca ments for interm	comprehensive and should allow for requirements on how the ely treated. The Council therefore recommends that there utions for handling/ preparing bodies, requiring movement to a nent/disposal to prevent the risk to public health. Such robust risk assessment.
Q31(b). Who sho relocated?		responsibility to relocate or cause the dead body to be
Given that such ha	azards are likely death it seems	n the box below to be identified via interaction with medical professionals at or likely that controls should commence at that stage by the as PHA officials.
Detail is lacking or	n which agency/	who will enforce the failure to co-operate offence.
Limitations: regu	ulations impos	sing restrictions or requirements
Domestic Health	Protection Re	egulations equipment of the second of the se
. ,	gree or disag regulations?	ree with the scope of the powers to make domestic health
A	gree	X
D	isagree	
U	Indecided	
N	lot Applicable	

Please give rea	asons for your	ranswer
See points raised	l in answer to qu	estion 28.
` ,	ons or require	gree with the scope of the limitations imposing ments" in relation to domestic health protection
,	Agree	X
ı	Disagree	
•	Undecided	
ı	Not Applicable	
See points raised	l in answer to qu	
Q33(a). Do you		agree with the scope of the powers to make internation
,	Agree	x
ı	Disagree	
•	Undecided	
ı	Not Applicable	
so to protect the plant in an emergency	es however any public from signi	r answer regulations must be proportionate to risk and necessary to do ficant risks to health. n is being drafted there should be timely consultations with any
I statutory body red		e such special regulations to ensure that the legislation

Q33(b). Do you agree or disagree with the scope of the limitations imposing "restrictions or requirements" in relation to international travel health protection regulations?		
Agree		
Disagree		
Undecided	X	
Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for your The Council considers this is a macomment on the proposals.	atter for the relevant health / medical professionals to provide	
Q34. Do you agree or disagree with the scope of the associated offences and fines?		
Agree		
Disagree		
Undecided	X	
Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for your answer		
The lessons learnt from the Covid	d enquiry should be considered to inform this element of the bill.	
Detail is lacking on which agency/who will enforce contraventions of public health regulations failure to co-operate offence. Conferring functions on any agency or Department without agreement requires further consideration including financial reimbursement.		

Powers conferred on any other Northern Ireland Department to make regulations.

Q35.		ee that regulation making powers should be included in the departments to make regulations at the request of the
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Plea	ase give reasons for you	ranswer
eme Duri and	ergency measures are overse ing the Covid pandemic, Cou	to ensure that any new regulations introduced in response to been and co-ordinated by the appropriate Ministerial Department. Incils were involved in the enforcement of the emergency powers as in enforcing legislation that involved several government
thos legis	e responsible for enforcemer	ultation with stakeholders on any new regulation, especially with nt. Failure to consult and consider feedback can result in e public/businesses, open to interpretation and unenforceable by
to as		ailed guidance is developed alongside the drafting of legislation mplementation. Such guidance should be provided promptly, coming into force.
<u>Revi</u>	ew of regulations	
Q36.		ne proposals in relation to the review of the operation of the ations are appropriate?
	Agree	X
	Disagree	
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Plea	ase give reasons for you	ranswer

legislation that is confusing to the public/busin the enforcing authority	esses, open to interpretation and unenforceable by
	te is developed alongside the drafting of legislation on. Such guidance should be provided promptly, force.
Assembly control	
Q37. Do you consider that the proposal report should be adopted in the new	s set out in Recommendation 3 of the Bingham w Public Health Bill?
Agree	
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	
Please give reasons for your answer	
with those responsible for enforcement. Failur	th stakeholders on any new regulation, especially e to consult and consider feedback can result in esses, open to interpretation and unenforceable by
	te is developed alongside the drafting of legislation on. Such guidance should be provided promptly, force.
Q38. Do you consider that the proposa report should be adopted in the new	Is set out in Recommendation 4 of the Binghamew Public Health Bill?
Agree	
Disagree	
Undecided	
Not Applicable	
Please give reasons for your answer	

Council recommends advance consultation with stakeholders on any new regulation, especially with those responsible for enforcement. Failure to consult and consider feedback can result in

Q39.	Do you consider that the proposals set out in Recommendation 5 of the Bingham report should be adopted in the new Public Health Bill?		
	Agree		
	Disagree		
	Undecided	X	
	Not Applicable		
Plea	se give reasons for you	ranswer	
Q40.		agree that the negative procedure for making urgent ulations should be retained as in Recommendation 6?	
	Agree		
	Disagree		
	Undecided	X	
	Not Applicable		
Plea	se give reasons for you	ranswer	
Q41(a		agree that ministers should have a statutory duty to have advice produced by National Human Rights Institutions in Recommendation 10?	
	Agree		
	Disagree		
	Undecided	X	
	Not Applicable		
Plea	se give reasons for you	ranswer	

Q41(b) What other institutions could this duty be extended to?

Please provide suggestions	in the box below	
Commissioner for Older People. Council would consult further with Legal Services.		
	ree that an alternative formal system of enforcement, other otices (FPNs), should be adopted in emergency health?	
Agree		
Disagree		
Undecided	X	
Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for you	ranswer	
	d enquiry should be considered to inform this element of the bill.	
Any alternative formal system of enforcement, other than Fixed Penalty Notices must be consistent with good practice with a graduated and proportionate approach to enforcement adopted.		
Council would query if a warning is suitable for a contravention of emergency health protection regulations, given their purpose and the risk to public health.		
There is a lack of detail on who to faction to be taken would be de	he enforcement body would be and how decisions on the course etermined.	
Recording and managing warnings issued could be problematic for any enforcement body. Regional systems will need to be put in place to enable sharing of information to avoid individual receiving multiple warnings that should have escalated to an FPN or prosecution.		
The use of warnings for a first offence may not serve as an effective deterrent. FPNs are more appropriate and may be easier to manage.		

Please provide suggestions in the box below		
See 42 (a)		
HEME 4: PROTECTING INDIVIDUALS (Page 67-69)		
Q43. Do you consider that appropriate safeguards and protections to individuals have been captured in the proposed Bill?		
Agree X		
Disagree		
Undecided		
Not Applicable		
Please give reasons for your answer		

Q42(b) If so, what should this look like?

Rural Impact

The Rural Needs Act (NI) 2016 places a duty on public authorities, including government departments, to have due regard to rural needs when developing, adopting, implementing or revising policies, strategies and plans and when designing and delivering public services.

Q44.	Are the actions or pro an adverse impact on	posals set out in this consultation document likely to have rural areas?
	Yes	X
	Undecided	
	Not Applicable	
Plea	se give reasons for you	r answer.
	ncil would suggest based on ater extent in respect of acc	restrictions during covid, rural areas were adversely affected to cess to services etc.

Equality/human rights questions

Q45.	Are you aware of any indication or evidence – qualitative or quantitative – that the actions/proposals set out in this consultation document may have an adverse impact on equality of opportunity or on good relations? If yes, please give details and comment on what you think should be added or removed to alleviate the adverse impact.	
	Yes	
	Undecided	X
	Not Applicable	
Please give reasons for your answer.		
Q46. Is there an opportunity to better promote equality of opportunity or good relations? If yes, please give details as to how.		
	Yes	
	Undecided	X
	Not Applicable	
Please give reasons for your answer.		
Q47. Are there any aspects of this policy proposal where potential human rights violations may occur?		
	Yes	
	Undecided	X
	Not Applicable	
Whils perio deter	ds where restrictions and de	e been considered in the form of appeals and review privation of movement are imposed, it is not possible to stential human rights violations until the final draft of the

Thank you for your comments

Please submit your response via email or hard copy to the correspondence details below:

Email: phbt@health-ni.gov.uk

Address: Health Protection Legislation Branch

C/O PHD Admin Team

Room C4.22

Castle Buildings Stormont Estate

Belfast

Northern Ireland

BT4 3SQ

END