

Title of Report:	Citizens Survey 2024
Committee Report Submitted To:	Corporate Policy & Resources
Date of Meeting:	22 October 2024
For Decision or For Information	For Information
To be discussed In Committee	NO

Linkage to Council Strategy (2021-25)			
Strategic Theme	Improvement & Innovation		
Outcome	Council maintains its performance as the most efficient of NI's local authorities		
Lead Officer	Head of Performance		

Estimated Timescale for Completion	
Date to be Completed	31 December 2024

Budgetary Considerations			
Cost of Proposal			
Included in Current Year Estimates	YES		
Capital/Revenue			
Code			
Staffing Costs			

Legal Considerations	
Input of Legal Services Required	NO
Legal Opinion Obtained	NO

Screening Requirements	Required for new or revised Policies, Plans, Strategies or Service Delivery Proposals.			
Section 75 Screening	Screening Completed:	Yes/No	Date:	
	EQIA Required and Completed:	Yes/No	Date:	
Rural Needs Assessment	Screening Completed	Yes/No	Date:	
(RNA)	RNA Required and Completed:	Yes/No	Date:	

^{241022 |} CPR | Citizens Survey 2024

Data Protection Impact	Screening Completed:	Yes/No	Date:
Assessment (DPIA)	DPIA Required and Completed:	Yes/No	Date:

1.0 Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to update Members on the outcomes and planned next steps following the Council's second Citizens Survey.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 In January 2002, Council reported the outcomes of its first ever Citizens Survey. Council agreed that this process should be repeated in advance of the publication of Council's next Corporate Strategy.
- 2.2 It was agreed that Council would conduct Citizens Survey 2 at an appropriate period in either 2023 or 2024, and that this would be monitored through the Performance Improvement Plan

3.0 Citizens Survey

- 3.1 The Citizen's Survey 2 was opened on 13th May 2024 and then closed on 30 July 2024.
- 3.2 The survey was a whole population survey with no sampling. The invitation to participate was distributed widely, with no apparent bias toward any demographic, geographical or other group. Although designed to be completed on-line, using a smart phone or computer, options were available for telephone and postal completion.
- 3.3 A promotional communications campaign was developed and issued through Council's website and social media channels. Furthermore, posters and leaflets were displayed at all Council facilities and local press and media were also utilised.
- 3.4 As this was Council's second Citizen's Survey, the decision was taken to repeat much of the content of the first survey to provide the ability to conduct direct comparisons over the time period. This also allows Council to continue to focus on key visible areas such as refuse collection, street cleansing, parks and open spaces, sport and leisure, births deaths and marriages, and community facilities.

4.0 Outcomes and Headline Results

- 4.1 The survey resulted in 2,701 responses in total, which is an increase of 433 from Citizens Survey 1. The survey had a completion rate of 76%. Regardless of what proportion of the total population it represents, this is a statistically significant number. This means we can have a high degree of confidence (95%) that the views expressed will be in line with those of the population as a whole, give or take 2%.
- 4.2 A Survey Summary Report is attached at **Appendix 1** to this report.
- 4.3 Citizens were asked to rate Council's overall performance with a maximum score of 5 Stars. Council achieved an overall score of 3.11 which demonstrates that Council is viewed in a positive light. Indeed, 40% of respondents returned a satisfaction rate of 4 Stars or above.
- 4.4 The residents of Moyle gave lower scores on average than those of the other areas giving the council a star rating of 2.8. The areas with the highest overall average rating were Ballymoney and Limavady with 3.3.
- 4.5 Refuse collection tops the list in terms of the Service that citizens perceive as being most important to them. It is also the service that scored most highly on questions around performance. This service is evidently highly regarded and delivering against resident priorities.
- 4.6 Staff attitude was consistently one of the highest rated factors across all service areas which should be taken as a highly positive result. Often staff are the face of a council and the only element of the council that they might have direct contact with. Having residents recognise their hard work is a factor that should be applauded
- 4.7 Some early areas for improvement identified are the need for more facilities and programs for young people and a perception that some areas do less well than others in so far as council services are concerned.
- 4.8 1,105 respondents took the opportunity to provide further comments on any aspects of the Councils performance. This has provided an additional wealth of information, areas for improvement and valuable qualitative data. The areas mentioned the most in the additional comments were Council facilities, recycling, local towns, community development and car parking.

5.0 Next Steps

- 5.1 Members will be provided with a detailed breakdown of the results of the survey as well as access to the additional comments report.
- 5.2 Council Services will also be provided with a detailed breakdown of the results of the survey. Services will be asked to analyse the results and identify potential areas for improvement that could be developed through

^{241022 |} CPR | Citizens Survey 2024

Service Business Plans and/or the Council's Performance Improvement Plan.

- 5.3 Council's Performance Team will continue to work closely with colleagues across the Council to use the Citizens Survey 2 findings in the development of the new Corporate Strategy.
- 5.4 We will publish the findings of our Citizens Survey on the Council's website and social media channels.

6.0 Recommendation

It is recommended that the Corporate Policy and Resources Committee notes the contents of this report, outcomes and next steps, as well as the detailed results in the Survey Summary Report attached.



Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council

Citizens Engagement Survey 2024 report

This report has been prepared by Matt Miller in September 2024

Version 2.0 - Final





APSE (Association for Public Service Excellence) is a not for profit local government body working with over 300 councils throughout the UK. Promoting excellence in public services, APSE is the foremost specialist in local authority front line services, hosting a network for front line service providers in areas such as waste and refuse collection, parks and environmental services, leisure, school meals, cleaning, housing and building maintenance.

APSE provides services specifically designed for local authorities, such as benchmarking, consultancy, seminars, research, briefings and training. Through its consultancy arm APSE delivers expert assistance to councils with the overt aim of driving service improvement and value for money through service review and redesign. APSE delivers in excess of 100 projects a year and clients benefit from the consultancy's not for profit ethical approach to consultancy services.



Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council Survey report

Contents

1. Introduction	4
2. Demographic Data	5
3. Relative importance of different services	7
4. Service by service ratings	8
5. Overall score and additional comments	18
6. Conclusions and Recommendations	20

1. Introduction

- 1.1 APSE Solutions was engaged to carry out a repeat survey of citizens on behalf of Causeway Coast and Glens Borough Council (CC&G). This would be a follow up survey to one carried out by APSE in 2021/22 and cover the same questions to provide a like for like comparison. Following service areas were included in the survey:
 - Refuse Collection
 - Street Cleansing
 - Parks and Open Spaces
 - Sport and Leisure
 - Births Deaths and Marriages
 - Community Facilities
- 1.2 The survey was a whole population survey with no sampling. The invitation to participate was distributed widely, with no apparent bias toward any demographic, geographical or other group. Although designed to be completed on-line, using a smart phone or computer, options were available for telephone and postal completion. Estimates vary but recent research indicates that around 7% of the UK adult population are almost completely offline¹. Digital exclusion can impact on older people, those with certain disabilities and economically marginalised groups. OFCOM estimates that 6% of households didn't' have access to the internet at home in 2023. Of this 6%, 39% are aged 65 and over².
- 1.3 The survey resulted in 2,701 responses in total, with a completion rate of 76%. Regardless of what proportion of the total population it represents, this is a statistically significant number. This means we can have a high degree of confidence (95%) that the views expressed will be in line with those of the population as a whole, give or take 2%.
- 1.4 Response levels for sub-groups were obviously significantly lower in number, meaning that it is less possible to be confident that the views expressed are reflective of the view of those groups as a whole. So, for example, with 218 under 25s completing the survey, caution should be exercised in interpreting the views

¹ Lloyds Bank UK Consumer Digital Index 2020

² OFCOM Adult's Media Use and Attitudes Report 2024

expressed in so far as this sub-group is concerned. This is even more the case for combinations of subgroups, e.g., people under 25 living in Moyle, of which only ten responded. For these smaller groups of respondents results should be regarded as indicative rather than definitive.

- 1.5 A full set of graphs and tables are appended to this summary report as are all additional comments.
- 1.6 Please note that due to rounding, percentages may total slightly more or less than 100%.

2. Demographic Data

Area of Residence

2.1 The survey was distributed throughout the CC&G area. The table below compares the breakdown of responses by area with that of the entire population as given in the 2021 Census. The total population according to the census is approximately 141,750 people.

	Proportion of Responses 2021/22	Proportion of Responses 2024/25	Proportion of CC&G Population
Coleraine	31%	38%	43%
Limavady	18%	19%	24%
Ballymoney	20%	17%	22%
Moyle	15%	14%	12%
Other	16%	13%	

2.2 The proportion of respondents from each council area varied with the proportion of CCG residents for each area in the population, though only by a maximum of 5%. The disparity may be related to the fact that 13% of respondents chose not to identify with any of the area choices. The most frequently mentioned alternatives were Portrush and Portstewart with a combined total of 166 responses. Ballycastle (32) and Garvagh (20) were the next highest "other" responses.

Age profile of survey respondents			Age profile of population
	2021/22	2024/25	2021 Census
Under 18	0.75%	1.08%	22%
18-24	1.99%	7.02%	8%
25-34	25-34 9.31% 20.56%		11%
35-44	15.43%	20.45%	12%
45-54	19.81%	16.63%	14%
55-64	55-64 26.15%		14%
65+	26.2%	15.29%	19%
Prefer not to say	0.35%	0.45%	

2.3 Whilst the data sets are not directly comparable, it is clear that the age profile of respondents is different from that of the population as a whole but they are arguably more in line with the census figures than the previous survey. In particular, the proportion of older participants, 65+, has shrunk compared with those in the 25-34 and 35-44 brackets. This change shows that the younger generation are potentially more engaged with council services than previously and wish to make their opinions heard. Two thirds of those respondents aged between 25 and 44 reported that they have dependent children living with them and it is feasible that they engaged with the survey in the hopes of improving services for their families in future years.

Gender

2.4 The gender split of respondents was 59% female and 40% male. This is disproportionate to the 51% female to 49% male split of the population as a whole. 0.26% of respondents opted for the 'neither' category of gender identification and just over 1% didn't wish to answer the question. There does not seem to be any strong correlation between gender and levels of overall satisfaction, although women scored the overall performance of the council slightly lower than men at 3.1 out of 5, as against 3.2 out of 5. This is a reverse on the previous survey results.

Religion

2.5 The proportion of Catholics and Protestants responding to the survey have both decreased from the previous survey with an associated increase in the other survey options. Interestingly, the proportion of those identifying as having another religion has tripled and is noticeably higher than the respective proportion of the population.

Religion	Proportion of Responses 2021/22	Proportion of Responses 2024/25	Proportion of Population
Catholic	29%	26%	38%
Protestant	44%	41%	45%
No religion	19%	22%	16%
Other religion	1%	3%	1%
Prefer not to say		8%	

Disability Status

2.6 The proportion of respondents describing themselves as having a disability was 21%, which is an increase from the previous survey. This compares to 26% categorised as having a 'limiting long term illness' according to the 2021 Census data. The latter is a wider definition which includes conditions that would not necessarily be defined as disability. 21% can be considered a good level of response for this important subgroup. The actual number of responses (555) allows a 95% confidence level with a 5% margin of error.

Dependent Children

2.7 The 2021 census data indicate that 46% of the CC&G population have dependent children in their household. Amongst survey respondents the proportion is 43.7%. The discrepancy here is relatively minor and shows that the survey covers a good proportion of families in line with the census

3. Relative importance of different services

3.1 Respondents were asked to say how important individual council services are to them. This was not a ranking exercise – respondents were free to determine the importance to them of each service on a standalone basis.

- 3.2 Refuse collection was ranked as being more important than any of the other service areas, with nearly 85% of respondents rating the service as very important or important to them, compared to the second highest rating of 80% for street cleaning. This is a noticeable drop on the previous survey which ranked these two areas as 94% and 82% respectively
- 3.3 These two service areas, as well as parks and open spaces (76%), are the ones used and accessible by virtually all residents so can be expected to rank highly on importance to residents.
- 3.4 The other services are less universal but all were considered to be, extremely important, very important or important to at least 88% of respondents. This is a slight increase on the previous survey results.
- 3.5 The drop in importance for refuge collection and street cleaning, whilst still of significant importance to residents, could signify that these are seen as services which tend to continue irrespective of resident feedback. This is in contrast to services focused on community and sport and leisure which have noticeably increased in importance implying that these are services where the council need to look at further engagement with residents on what they'd like to see.

	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	NOT APPLICABLE	TOTAL
Refuse collection	61.37% 1,347	23.37% 513	11.57% 254	2.64% 58	0.46% 10	0.59% 13	2,195
Street cleaning	45.57% 993	34.19% 745	15.24% 332	3.26% 71	0.78% 17	0.96% 21	2,179
Parks and open spaces	47.92% 1,036	27.80% 601	19.89% 430	2.96% 64	1.02% 22	0.42% 9	2,162
Sport and Leisure	34.53% 749	32.55% 706	23.14% 502	7.51% 163	1.48% 32	0.78% 17	2,169
Births deaths and marriages	30.45% 662	26.13% 568	29.99% 652	9.43% 205	2.58% 56	1.43% 31	2,174
Car parks	34.11% 744	30.95% 675	25.17% 549	7.06% 154	1.60% 35	1.10% 24	2,181
Community facilities such as community centres	34.40% 751	29.18% 637	25.29% 552	7.74% 169	2.75% 60	0.64% 14	2,183

How important to you are the following council services?

4. Service by service ratings

4.1 Respondents were asked to say how important a range of factors were to them as well as to rate how well the council is doing in relation to each factor for each service area. In this way it is possible to gain a clearer understanding of what is most important to people and in particular to identify any aspects of services that are perceived to be performing less well but which are of high importance to people. This can help with future service planning.

Refuse collection

- 4.2 The factors considered most important for refuse collection were Service Reliability and Frequency of Collection, closely followed by Level of Recycling. Most elements scored well, over 76%, in terms of perceptions of performance with a rating of excellent or good. Service Reliability and Attitude of Staff were particularly well regarded at 83% and 81% respectively.
- 4.3 The Level of Recycling scored least well of all the factors on performance and was rated as good or excellent by only 67% of respondents. This was a significant drop from 78% in the previous survey with the main drop coming from the 'Excellent' score with a higher proportion now rating the service as 'Average'.
- 4.4 Refuse collection is still the most important service to the residents of CC&G and is also the one rating highest in terms of performance. This is the same as the previous survey.

Thinking about refuse collection, please tell us how important the following things are to you.

	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	35.92% 778	29.87% 647	26.92% 583	6.19% 134	1.11% 24	2,166	3.93
Frequency of collection	54.15% 1,168	30.00% 647	13.58% 293	1.99% 43	0.28% 6	2,157	4.36
Level of recycling	43.71% 945	31.78% 687	19.61% 424	3.65% 79	1.25% 27	2,162	4.13
Bins returned to location	33.94% 730	33.57% 722	25.38% 546	6.51% 140	0.60% 13	2,151	3.94
Service reliability	54.01% 1,171	31.69% 687	12.87% 279	0.92% 20	0.51% 11	2,168	4.38

Please tell us how well think the refuse collection service is doing in relation to the following.

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	POOR	VERY POOR	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	39.23% 834	41.35% 879	15.62% 332	2.63% 56	1.18% 25	2,126	4.15
Frequency of collection	33.24%	45.10%	16.28%	4.00%	1.38%		
	723	981	354	87	30	2,175	4.05
Level of recycling	20.55%	46.41%	25.44%	5.82%	1.77%		
	441	996	546	125	38	2,146	3.78
Bins returned to location	33.04%	42.58%	15.90%	6.31%	2.17%		
	717	924	345	137	47	2,170	3.98
Service reliability	39.49%	43.36%	13.04%	2.63%	1.47%		
	857	941	283	57	32	2,170	4.17

Street Cleansing

- 4.5 The Emptying Litter Bins is the aspect of cleansing that is most important to respondents followed by Fly Tipping. This is a flip on the results of the previous survey. Performance on both was rated relatively low, with Fly Tipping being one of the few areas of service to gain less than a 50% Good or Excellent rating with a score of 41%. Emptying of Litter Bins was scored more highly, with 50% saying it is Good or Excellent. These were both slightly worst than in the previous survey
- 4.6 The Attitude of Staff, which was scored important or higher by just over 90% of respondents, scored well on performance with 75% rating it as good or excellent. This is, however, also a drop on the previous survey's scores. As a direct comparison the survey indicates that the local population are less satisfied with Street Cleansing as a whole. This could be down to a reduction in the quality of service but also, as a more visible day to day issue, it is one that residents are more likely to notice and comment on.
- 4.7 With the exception of the emptying of bins and fly tipping, the areas of highest importance to residence didn't change much between surveys. Both of these categories fell quite sharply in the very and extremely important scores and also dipped on the performance measures with less residents rating them good or excellent.
- 4.8 Frequency of street sweeping also declined in performance despite maintaining its importance. This could be due to street sweeping being quite a visible service, and residents noticing more when it doesn't happen. This doesn't directly mean that the streets are dirtier, just that residents aren't seeing the sweepers as much. That being said, residents also marked the cleaning of town centres 10% lower in performance compared to the previous survey with approximately 5% of this decline moving to the 'poor' score.

	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	29.89% 625	33.00% 690	27.55% 576	7.65% 160	1.91% 40	2,091	3.81
Frequency of street sweeping	39.51% 844	35.91% 767	21.11% 451	3.09% 66	0.37% 8	2,136	4.11
Cleansing of town centres	48.74% 1,047	31.70% 681	17.13% 368	1.96% 42	0.47% 10	2,148	4.26
Emptying of litter bins	59.28% 1,278	28.80% 621	9.93% 214	1.81% 39	0.19% 4	2,156	4.45
Fly tipping	58.08% 1,236	24.95% 531	13.49% 287	2.54% 54	0.94% 20	2,128	4.37

Thinking about street cleansing, please tell us how important the following things are to you.

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	POOR	VERY POOR	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
Attitude of staff	27.97% 551	47.11% 928	20.41% 402	3.50% 69	1.02% 20	1,970		2.02
Frequency of street sweeping	14.07% 293	37.88% 789	31.40% 654	11.57% 241	5.09% 106	2,083		2.56
Cleansing of town centres	15.80% 333	39.75% 838	29.27% 617	11.10% 234	4.08% 86	2,108		2.48
Emptying of litter bins	13.69% 291	36.52% 776	31.95% 679	13.08% 278	4.75% 101	2,125		2.59
Fly tipping	10.93% 216	29.74% 588	37.08% 733	14.82% 293	7.44% 147	1,977		2.78

Please tell us how well you think the street cleansing service is performing in relation to the following.

Parks and Open Spaces

- 4.9 The data shows that dog bins, litter bins and toilets in parks are the most important elements of the service to residents with each scoring 84% or higher for extremely or very important in the ratings. Unfortunately, these are also the service elements that scored least well on performance, with fewer than 50% of respondents rating them as good or excellent. The toilets were the lowest rated area with only 37% of respondents scoring them good or excellent.
- 4.10 As with most of the services, the Attitude of Staff, whilst not being the most important factor, is rated highly. In this case 72% of respondents rated it as good or excellent. Whilst this was the highest scoring category for performance, it was a drop on the previous survey where it achieved a score of 80%.
- 4.11 Whilst decreasing slightly in terms of the importance associated with it, toilets in parks increased its ranking from 3rd to 2nd most important factor in this year's survey. Litter bins were, again, the most important factor (86%) but had a noticeable 6% drop in the percentage score for very or extremely important. Children's play areas marginally increase it's ranking for importance from 5th to 4th with a maintained score of 81%.
- 4.12 Whilst the rankings for performance ratings of good or excellent stayed broadly the same as the earlier survey, performance ratings all dropped with the exception of litter bins in parks which stayed the same. The toilets in parks were ranked as the worst performing area with a combined score of 37% which was a 1% drop on the previous survey. The attitude of staff continued to be the best performing area but dropped significantly from 81% to 72%. The largest drop in performance came from flower beds and floral displays which dropped by 12% to 68%.

Thinking about parks and open spaces, please tell us how important the following things are to you.

	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	36.00% 720	32.75% 655	25.30% 506	4.60% 92	1.35% 27	2,000	3.97
Flower beds and floral displays in public areas	27.97% 601	33.78% 726	27.22% 585	9.63% 207	1.40% 30	2,149	3.77
Outdoor pitches and playing fields	35.77% 762	33.80% 720	24.18% 515	4.79% 102	1.46% 31	2,130	3.98
Children's play areas	49.43% 1,049	31.15% 661	14.75% 313	3.35% 71	1.32% 28	2,122	4.24
Public parks	45.96% 985	33.88% 726	16.94% 363	2.33% 50	0.89% 19	2,143	4.22
Toilets in parks	54.03% 1,161	29.97% 644	12.89% 277	2.37% 51	0.74% 16	2,149	4.34
Litter bins in parks	57.01% 1,228	28.69% 618	12.49% 269	1.44% 31	0.37% 8	2,154	4.41
Dog bins in parks	55.82% 1,190	27.91% 595	12.34% 263	2.81% 60	1.13% 24	2,132	4.34

Please tell us how well you think parks and open spaces is performing in relation to the following

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	POOR	VERY POOR	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	24.45% 456	47.08% 878	23.97% 447	3.65% 68	0.86% 16	1,865	3.91
Flower beds and floral displays in public areas	22.09% 467	45.55% 963	23.89% 505	6.76% 143	1.70% 36	2,114	3.80
Outdoor pitches and playing fields	16.16% 328	46.40% 942	29.31% 595	6.26% 127	1.87% 38	2,030	3.69
Children's play areas	13.68% 282	41.22% 850	30.31% 625	10.14% 209	4.66% 96	2,062	3.49
Public parks	12.81% 265	41.78% 864	30.80% 637	10.44% 216	4.16% 86	2,068	3.49
Toilets in parks	10.54% 219	26.87% 558	33.17% 689	20.13% 418	9.29% 193	2,077	3.09
Litter bins in parks	12.35% 259	35.32% 741	34.75% 729	12.96% 272	4.62% 97	2,098	3.38
Dog bins in parks	9.79% 201	30.48% 626	36.12% 742	15.00% 308	8.62% 177	2,054	3.18

Sport and Leisure

- 4.13 The top-ranking aspect for Sport and Leisure, in relation to importance, was the Cleanliness of Changing Rooms and Toilets, closely followed by the Feeling of a Safe Environment at 88% and 87% respectively. The Value for Money of Charges was joint third with Disability Access at 81%. All areas ranked above 73% for importance.
- 4.14 The service scores highly on performance for all factors with the most important coming out particularly well. Only value for money (49%) failed to score over 50% for good or excellent. Once again, staff attitude was highly rated within this service area.

- 4.15 Compared to the previous survey, all areas saw a drop in importance of between 1% and 4%, though all were scored 73% or higher for very and extremely important. This shows that on the whole, leisure services is an important service to local residents. The cleanliness of changing rooms and toilets moved slightly ahead of people wanting a feeling of a safe environment though both scored highly at 88% and 87% respectively. Disability access and value for money were the next most important areas and scored 81% and were also tied for importance in the last survey. As with the last survey, the temperature of water in pools was of least importance with a score of 73%.
- 4.16 All surveyed areas in leisure services dropped in performance between the two surveys with none scoring above 74% for good and excellent ratings. The feeling of a safe environment dropped the most falling from 77% to 68%. Staff attitude was the highest performer but still fell 7% on the previous survey. The quality of the equipment with centres fell marginally but only by 1-2%. Value for money was the worst performing area and the only one to fall under 50% on performance. This had a 6% drop on the last survey to 49%. The cleanliness of changing and toilet facilities is also a potential area of concern having fallen 5% to a rating of 58%.

Thinking about sport and leisure, please tell us how important the following things are to you.

	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT VERY	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	42.83% 842	34.38% 676	19.79% 389	2.19% 43	0.81% 16	1,966	4.16
Cleanliness of changing rooms/toilets	56.22% 1,135	31.90% 644	9.81% 198	1.49% 30	0.59% 12	2,019	4.42
Temperature of water in swimming pools	40.13% 777	32.54% 630	23.04% 446	3.10% 60	1.19% 23	1,936	4.07
Disability access	51.62% 1,018	29.72% 586	14.20% 280	3.55% 70	0.91% 18	1,972	4.28
Feeling of a safe environment	61.12% 1,234	25.46% 514	11.05% 223	1.58% 32	0.79% 16	2,019	4.45
Quality of fitness and gym equipment	41.74% 816	33.71% 659	20.10% 393	3.12% 61	1.33% 26	1,955	4.11
Quality of other equipment	39.88% 778	36.55% 713	19.68% 384	2.77% 54	1.13% 22	1,951	4.11
Value for money of charges to use facilities	51.47% 1,035	29.74% 598	16.66% 335	1.69% 34	0.45% 9	2,011	4.30

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	POOR	VERY POOR	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	27.42% 507	46.08% 852	21.25% 393	4.06% 75	1.19% 22	1,849	3.94
Cleanliness of changing rooms/toilets	14.55% 270	43.21% 802	28.66% 532	9.86% 183	3.72% 69	1,856	3.55
Temperature of water in swimming pools	14.29% 247	43.92% 759	30.90% 534	8.22% 142	2.66% 46	1,728	3.59
Disability access	15.95% 270	45.30% 767	29.06% 492	7.50% 127	2.19% 37	1,693	3.65
Feeling of a safe environment	19.61% 358	48.08% 878	25.63% 468	4.93% 90	1.75% 32	1,826	3.79
Quality of fitness and gym equipment	20.29% 345	48.24% 820	24.24% 412	6.00% 102	1.24% 21	1,700	3.80
Quality of other equipment	15.01% 257	47.43% 812	29.96% 513	5.78% 99	1.81% 31	1,712	3.68
Value for money of charges to use facilities	12.17% 224	36.88% 679	38.02% 700	9.18% 169	3.75% 69	1,841	3.45

Please tell us how well you think sport and leisure is performing in relation to the following.

Births Deaths and Marriages

- 4.17 Births deaths and marriages was one of the lower scoring services in so far as importance to respondents is concerned. This is likely because it is not service used by most residents on a regular basis.
- 4.18 The Attitude of Staff and the Standard of Upkeep of Cemeteries were considered to be the most important aspect for this service with both scoring a 81% for extremely and very important combined. The Availability of Funeral slots was third with a score of 76% for the same rankings. The 2 lowest scores were for the 2 wedding related categories. These both scored 59% showing that council run marriage related services are still important to residents.
- 4.19 Performance ratings for the factors where they were rated good or excellent are all above 50% with the exception of toilet provision in cemeteries. The Attitude of Staff being the highest rated at 78%. Value for money scored the second lowest, perhaps indicating that the cost of funerals is an issue for some residents.
- 4.20 The 2 factors relating to weddings and the opening hours of registration services all saw an increase in importance to residents compared to the previous survey, though these remained in the bottom half of all the factors for very and extremely important. The attitude of staff and cemetery upkeep were both the ranked highly in both surveys with staff attitude reducing importance slightly but still having a rating of over 81%.
- 4.21 Comparing performance to the previous survey, 3 areas marginally increased their performance in the combined good and excellent categories. These were choice of wedding venue, value for money of bereavement service and toilet provision in cemeteries. The increase were only of 1%-2%. These 3 areas were also the 3 lowest ranked areas for performance. Only 2 areas changed their rankings with the availability of wedding slots and the opening hours of registration services swapping 4th and 5th

places with the availability of wedding slots now been the 4th highest performing area. The attitude of staff, availability of funeral slots and upkeep of cemeteries all declined in performance between surveys but were still rated as 65% or higher and made up the top 3 highest performing areas. The upkeep of cemeteries dropped significantly from a rating of 82% for good and excellent performance to 70%.

	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	50.76% 971	30.06% 575	15.73% 301	2.30% 44	1.15% 22	1,913	4.27
Standard of upkeep of cemeteries	44.60% 859	36.40% 701	15.42% 297	2.70% 52	0.88% 17	1,926	4.21
Availability of funeral slots	46.40% 870	29.23% 548	20.80% 390	2.56% 48	1.01% 19	1,875	4.17
Toilet provision in cemeteries	34.08% 651	30.26% 578	24.19% 462	9.74% 186	1.73% 33	1,910	3.85
Opening hours of registration service	33.46% 640	33.61% 643	26.40% 505	5.02% 96	1.52% 29	1,913	3.92
Availability of wedding slots	27.09% 480	31.77% 563	31.66% 561	6.83% 121	2.65% 47	1,772	3.74
Choice of wedding venues	27.46% 482	31.68% 556	28.49% 500	9.06% 159	3.30% 58	1,755	3.71
Value for money of bereavement service	43.06% 800	32.29% 600	20.56% 382	3.01% 56	1.08% 20	1,858	4.13

Thinking about births, deaths and marriages, please tell us how important the following things are to you

Please tell us how well you think births, deaths and marriages is performing in relation to the following.

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	POOR	VERY POOR	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of staff	34.07% 554	44.40% 722	18.94% 308	2.03% 33	0.55% 9	1,626	4.09
Standard of upkeep of cemeteries	19.85% 337	50.00% 849	24.26% 412	4.42% 75	1.47% 25	1,698	3.82
Availability of funeral slots	17.11% 244	47.83% 682	28.96% 413	5.12% 73	0.98% 14	1,426	3.75
Toilet provision in cemeteries	12.69% 195	33.31% 512	32.40% 498	16.20% 249	5.40% 83	1,537	3.32
Opening hours of registration service	14.53% 223	45.86% 704	31.99% 491	5.54% 85	2.08% 32	1,535	3.65
Availability of wedding slots	14.94% 201	46.17% 621	31.75% 427	6.02% 81	1.12% 15	1,345	3.68
Choice of wedding venues	16.58% 224	42.64% 576	33.90% 458	6.00% 81	0.89% 12	1,351	3.68
Value for money of bereavement service	14.34% 204	39.49% 562	37.17% 529	6.32% 90	2.67% 38	1,423	3.57

Off-Street Parking

- 4.22 Off street parking is another service where all the named factors received high scores, 69% or more, in relation to their importance to respondents with the availability of off-street parking in Town Centres scoring most highly followed Security of Car Parks and the Attitude of Parking Enforcement Staff.
- 4.23 Perceptions of performance were amongst the lowest of all the services, with only the Attitude of Parking Enforcement Staff scoring higher than 50% for good or excellent. Charges for Off-street parking scored lowest of the factors (35%), although a large majority (71%) considered them to be average or better. This was a 6% decrease on the previous survey results of 77%.
- 4.24 Whilst all factors continued to score highly, they all saw a decrease in importance on the previous survey. The security of off-street parking dropped the most with a drop of 6% to a score of 79%, the availability of parking dropped 3% to 85%, and the upkeep of off-street car parks also dropped by 3% to 75%. The availability of parking remained the highest ranked and parking charges stayed as the least important factors.
- 4.25 The rankings for performance varied very little compared to the previous survey with only staff attitude, now ranked 1st, and car park upkeep swapping position. Parking charges was the only area to see any improved performance with a small 1% increase. It continued to be ranked the lowest area with a score of 35%. No area within parking scored higher than 52% on the combined good and excellent performance ratings with this being staff attitude. All other ratings were scored under 50%.

Thinking about off-street parking, please tell us how important the following things are to you

	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT VERY	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of parking enforcement staff	47.24% 993	32.40% 681	17.55% 369	2.14% 45	0.67% 14	2,102	4.23
Availability of off- street parking in town centres	52.94% 1,133	32.15% 688	12.62% 270	1.92% 41	0.37% 8	2,140	4.35
Upkeep of off-street car parks	43.05% 917	32.30% 688	21.03% 448	3.05% 65	0.56% 12	2,130	4.14
Security of off-street car parks	46.97% 999	31.73% 675	17.16% 365	3.71% 79	0.42% 9	2,127	4.21
Charges for parking	43.55% 918	25.19% 531	21.25% 448	6.21% 131	3.80% 80	2,108	3.98

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	POOR	VERY POOR	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Attitude of parking enforcement staff	15.04% 295	36.80% 722	34.05% 668	9.33% 183	4.79% 94	1,962	3.48
Availability of off-street parking in town centres	11.17% 236	33.46% 707	32.80% 693	16.23% 343	6.34% 134	2,113	3.27
Upkeep of off-street car parks	11.71% 244	35.75% 745	38.82% 809	10.41% 217	3.31% 69	2,084	3.42
Security of off-street car parks	10.02% 204	31.93% 650	39.83% 811	14.00% 285	4.22% 86	2,036	3.30
Charges for off-street parking	9.26% 193	25.37% 529	36.07% 752	16.12% 336	13.19% 275	2,085	3.01

Please tell us how well the off-street parking service is doing in relation to the following.

Community Facilities

- 4.26 Respondents to this section placed greatest importance on Community Facilities for Young People followed by the General repair and upkeep of facilities. The Attitude of Staff drop slightly in position from the previous survey but still had a combined score of 78% for being very or extremely important.
- 4.27 Community facilities for young people scored lower for performance than any other service element, with 32% recording a poor or very poor rating. Given the under representation of younger people amongst respondents this points to a need to engage with the group. With nearly 44% of respondents having dependent children, this high importance and low satisfaction on facilities for younger people implies that families would like more/better facilities for young people further strengthening the case to engage with the community on this. A number of respondent's additional comments also suggests that facilities for young people is an area for improvement. Only the attitude of staff scored over 50% with a score of good or excellent for performance in this service area.
- 4.28 Compared to the previous survey, all factors, with the exception of staff attitude, saw an increase in score for performance. Community facilities for young people was ranked number one for importance with a rating of 80% for very or extremely important. This was an increase from being ranked number four, with a score of 78%, in the previous survey. Both surveys highlighted how important community facilities are to residents with all the scores previously being 73% or higher and currently 75% or higher. Staff attitude dropped from the top ranking factor to 4th but only reduced by 3% in the scoring. This reflects how close the scores were with only 5% between the highest and lowest ranked factors.
- 4.29 Despite the generally negative view of the performance of community facilities, with every area apart from staff attitude falling below 50%, most of the areas scoring below 50% improved on the results of the previous survey. This shows that community facilities are moving in the right direction, but still needs work and engagement with residents. The largest improvement was for community facilities for young people

rising from 31% to 36%, though this was still the lowest area for performance in this service area. Whilst staff attitude did decline by 3% it still scored 73%.

Thinking about community facilities such as community centres how important are the following things to you

	EXTREMELY IMPORTANT	VERY IMPORTANT	IMPORTANT	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	NOT AT ALL IMPORTANT	TOTAL
Attitude of staff	45.76% 841	32.05% 589	19.80% 364	2.07% 38	0.33% 6	1,838
Range of available community services and facilities	41.08% 769	36.81% 689	18.06% 338	3.21% 60	0.85% 16	1,872
Community facilities for young people	48.70% 915	31.08% 584	16.44% 309	2.87% 54	0.90% 17	1,879
General repair and upkeep of community facilities	45.01% 848	33.65% 634	18.15% 342	2.28% 43	0.90% 17	1,884
Opening times and availability of community facilities	44.86% 842	32.87% 617	18.38% 345	2.45% 46	1.44% 27	1,877
Ease of booking arrangements for community facilities	39.48% 734	35.56% 661	20.60% 383	3.44%	0.91% 17	1,859
Value for money of charges for using community facilities	43.81% 817	32.76% 611	19.84% 370	2.90% 54	0.70%	1,865

Please tell us how well community facilities such as community centres are doing in relation to the following

	EXCELLENT	GOOD	AVERAGE	POOR	VERY POOR	TOTAL
Attitude of staff	26.44% 437	46.34% 766	22.75% 376	2.72% 45	1.75% 29	1,653
Range of available community services and facilities	9.93% 172	34.64% 600	33.49% 580	14.72% 255	7.22% 125	1,732
Community facilities for young people	12.13% 207	23.61% 403	32.40% 553	20.09% 343	11.78% 201	1,707
General repair and upkeep of community facilities	11.30% 194	34.30% 589	35.29% 606	13.22% 227	5.88% 101	1,717
Opening times and availability of community facilities	11.33% 192	31.62% 536	37.70% 639	12.98% 220	6.37% 108	1,695
Ease of booking arrangements for community facilities	11.29% 183	36.15% 586	37.63% 610	10.18% 165	4.75% 77	1,621
Value for money of charges for using community facilities	12.26% 201	32.68% 536	37.93% 622	10.37% 170	6.77% 111	1,640

5. Overall score and additional comments

- 5.1 Respondents were asked to give the council an overall star rating. The average rating was 3.1 out of 5. Cleary the council is viewed in a generally positive light, though there is room for improvement.
- 5.2 As with the previous survey, the residents of Moyle gave lower scores on average than those of the other areas giving the council a star rating of 2.8. The areas with

the highest overall average rating were Ballymoney and Limavady with 3.3 which is a slight decrease on the previous survey.

Thinking about the council as a whole how many stars would you give it for overall performance

	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
☆	13.80% 294	15.16% 323	31.03% 661	25.96% 553	14.04% 299	2,130		3.11

5.3 1,105 respondents took the opportunity to comment further on any aspect of council performance not covered in the survey. The full list is appended but some of the themes can be identified using a word cloud which maps the frequency with which individual words occur in the comments. An example word cloud can be see below:

families Portstewart events swimming pool clean want provide access especially waste Limavady shop etc community centre Will walk lack change staff Planning Car park well think year rates put S see time seems local tourists town centre keep many around also know Coleraine come go footpaths facilities disgrace services live town enough area play parks Council Ballymoney need building parking open good feel community council needs people left USE new Work place road allowed poor things yet nothing Ballycastle dog fouling residents help make young people public even one bins look charge children great much street leisure centre money spent take less given council area pay longer Portrush now lot business

5.4 Some recurring themes in the comments are the need for more facilities for young people and a perception that some areas do less well than others in so far as council services are concerned. This might suggest a need for further engagement at a local level.

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

- 6.1 The survey resulted in an good response rate with 68% of 2,701 people who responded completing it fully. The outputs are therefore a good indicator of how the citizens of CC&G view the services the council provides.
- 6.2 As might be expected, the universal services that nearly everybody receives are perceived to the most important on average. Refuse collection tops the list. It is also the service that scored most highly on questions around performance. This service is evidently highly regarded and delivering against resident priorities.
- 6.3 The comments section included a number of references to the need for more facilities for young people. Given the underrepresentation of that group amongst respondents this perhaps indicates a need for more targeted engagement with the younger members of the population. As also mentioned in the relevant section, with a large proportion of respondents having dependants living with them, the lack of satisfaction for youth facilities and the case for further investment and consultation is amplified.
- 6.4 There was a significant number of respondents who provided additional comments. The general feel of these comments was ranked as negative by the analysis software. This isn't a surprise for this type of survey where you are asking for where areas could be improved.
- 6.5 Staff attitude was consistently one of the highest rated factors across all service areas which should be taken as a highly positive result. Often staff are the face of a council and the only element of the council that they might have direct contact with. Having residents recognise their hard work is a factor that should be applauded.

Disclaimer

APSE reports are provided for outline information on matters of costs, productivity and quality to inform decision making. They do not represent formal advice. Users are reminded to ensure that any decisions should be subject to the usual processes before acting on reports or performance information. This includes matters of due diligence within your council or organisation